



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,733	02/25/2004	Frederick James Diggle III	BE1-0056US	2744
49584	7590	06/18/2007	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES, PLLC			WATSON, ROBERT C	
421 W. RIVERSIDE AVE.				
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SPOKANE, WA 99201			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,733	DIGGLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Watson	3723

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3,11,13,19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-10 and 14-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,3,11,13,19, 21, 22, and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

Claims 1, 3, 11, 13, 19, 21, 22, and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The elected species of Figure 8 shows only a single spiral groove. The claims are directed to a "a plurality of spiral grooves" which is misdescriptive of the elected device of Figure 8. It is unclear if the "end portion comprising a groove" (claim 1, claim 11, claim 19 is the same or different from the spiral groove. It is unclear from the claim what the purpose of this "end portion comprising a groove" is for. It is further unclear how the spiral groove at the end interacts with this "end portion comprising a groove". Would the spiral groove intersect the "end portion comprising a groove"?

Claims 1, 3, 11, 13, 19, 21, 22, and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is in no way apparent how wires are to be attached to the fish tape such that the wires can be pulled by the fish tape. Is a wire to be somehow attached to the spiral groove? If so how? Is a wire to be somehow attached to the "end portion comprising a groove"? If so how? How do grooves function in pulling a wire? The disclosure in the specification and drawings is found to be fatally defective in answering these questions. Further, how does the spiral groove interact with the end groove? Do they intersect? How does that impact the wires to be pulled by the groove(s)?

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 11, 13, 19, 21, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noonan in view of Mikol.

Noonan shows a fish tape 20 that is stored in a reel. Mikol teaches that a solid auger (Figure 1 and 3 embodiment) that is feed through a pipe has spiral groove on the exterior. To provide a spiral groove on the exterior of the fish tape 20 of Noonan would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Mikol. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide "the flexibility necessary" for the fish tape to be "rotated, twiste and contorted within a pipe". To provide spiral grooves instead of a single spiral groove is seen to be no more than an obvious duplication of the Mikol teaching. Since the Mikol groove extends from one end of the device to the second end of the device, the end portion of course comprises a groove. To make the outer diameter of the fish tape between .1875 and .375 is further obvious in view of the Mikol disclosure which contemplates such a range in the outer diameter.

Claims 4-10 and 14-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/21/05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. , 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



ROBERT C. WATSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

rcw